Point-Mass Singularities, Energy and the Two-Body Problem in General Relativity

STEPHEN J. CROTHERS¹

¹ Queensland, Australia, thenarmis@yahoo.com

PACS nn.mm.xx - First pacs description PACS nn.mm.xx - Second pacs description PACS nn.mm.xx - Third pacs description

Abstract. - It is demonstrated herein that:-

- 1. The Theory of Relativity forbids the existence of point-mass singularities because they imply infinite energies (or equivalently, that a material body can acquire the speed of light in vacuo);
- 2. Ric = $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ violates Einstein's 'Principle of Equivalence' and so does not describe Einstein's gravitational field;
- 3. Einstein's conceptions of the conservation and localisation of gravitational energy are invalid;
- 4. The concept of black hole interactions is ill-conceived.

Introduction. – It is demonstrated herein that the Theory of Relativity does not permit the existence of point-mass singularities because they imply infinite energies (or equivalently that a material object can acquire the speed c of light in vacuo), and that Ric = 0 violates Einstein's 'Principle of Equivalence' and so does not describe the gravitational field. Therefore, all solutions for Ric = 0 have no physical significance. It immediately follows that Einstein's conceptions of the conservation and localisation of gravitational energy are erroneous and that the current search for Einstein's gravitational waves is ill-conceived. Finally, the concept of black hole interactions is also ill-conceived because the two-body problem has been neither correctly formulated nor solved by means of the General Theory of Relativity.

Point-mass Singularities. – According to Special Relativity, infinite densities are forbidden because their existence implies that a material object can acquire the speed of light c in vacuo (or equivalently, the existence of infinite energies), thereby violating the very basis of Special Relativity. Since General Relativity cannot violate Special Relativity, General Relativity must thereby also forbid infinite densities. Point-mass singularities are alleged to be infinitely dense objects. Therefore, point-mass singularities are forbidden by the Theory of Relativity.

Let a cuboid rest-mass m_0 have sides of length L_0 . Let

 m_0 have a relative speed v < c in the direction of one of three mutually orthogonal Cartesian axes attached to an observer of rest-mass M_0 . According to the observer M_0 , the moving mass m is

$$m = \frac{m_0}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}},$$
(1)

and the volume V thereof is

$$V = L_0^3 \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}.$$
 (2)

Thus, the density D is

$$D = \frac{m}{V} = \frac{m_0}{L_0^3 \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)},\tag{3}$$

and so $v \to c \Rightarrow D \to \infty$. Since by (1) no material object can acquire the speed c (this would require an infinite energy), infinite densities are forbidden by Special Relativity, and so point-mass singularities are forbidden. Since General Relativity cannot violate Special Relativity, it too must thereby forbid infinite densities and hence forbid point-mass singularities [1–5]. Point-charges too are therefore forbidden by the Theory of Relativity since there can be no charge without mass.

Ric = 0 is inadmissible. – According to Einstein [6], his 'Principle of Equivalence' (equivalence of gravitational and inertial mass) requires that Special Relativity manifest in any freely falling inertial frame located in a sufficiently small region of the gravitational field. Now Special Relativity permits the presence of arbitrarily large (but not infinite) masses in spacetime, which are subject to the mass dilation relation (expression (1) above; and hence also to expressions (2) and (3) as well), and the definition of a relativistic inertial frame requires the *a priori* presence of two masses; the mass of the observer and the mass of the observed (to define relative motion of material bodies). In addition, at any instant the masses defining the freely falling inertial frame (and hence any other masses present therein) can have a speed up to but not including the speed of light in vacuo, by the action of the gravitational field. However, $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ precludes, by definition, the presence of any masses and energies in the gravitational field because the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ by hypothesis. Therefore, Special Relativity cannot manifest in any "freely falling" inertial frame in the spacetime of $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Indeed, a "freely falling" inertial frame cannot even be present since its very definition requires the presence of two masses which are, at any instant, subject to mass dilation under the action of the gravitational field. Similarly the equivalence of gravitational and inertial mass cannot manifest in the absence of matter in the gravitational field. Thus, $R_{\mu\nu}\,{=}\,0$ violates Einstein's 'Principle of Equivalence' and is therefore inadmissible -- it does not describe Einstein's gravitational field. Matter can only be introduced into Einstein's gravitational field via the energymomentum tensor since it alone is what specifies that which physically causes the curvature of spacetime (i.e. the gravitational field). Clearly, the usual introduction of matter as the physical cause of spacetime curvature, into the so-called "Schwarzschild solution" for $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$, is a posteriori and ad hoc, and violates the requirements of Einstein's theory because the energy-momentum tensor is set to zero in that case.

Gravitational energy cannot be localised. – Since $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ does not describe Einstein's gravitational field, the energy-momentum tensor can never be zero (i.e. if $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ there is no gravitational field). Therefore, Einstein's field equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = -\kappa T_{\mu\nu}$$

can be written as [9–11]

$$\frac{1}{\kappa}G_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\nu} = 0, \qquad (4)$$

wherein the $G_{\mu\nu}/\kappa$ are the components of a gravitational energy tensor. Thus, $G_{\mu\nu}/\kappa$ and $T_{\mu\nu}$ vanish identically; the total energy is always zero; there is no localisation of gravitational energy (i.e. there are no Einstein gravitational waves).

It is of interest to note that Einstein's pseudo-tensor is frequently utilised as a basis for the localisation of gravitational energy [6,11–15]. From the foregoing it is evident that this cannot be correct. This is reaffirmed by the fact that Einstein's pseudo-tensor is mathematically (and hence also physically) meaningless, because it implies the existence of an invariant that has no mathematical existence [9]. Indeed, Einstein's pseudo-tensor, $\sqrt{-g} t_{\nu}^{\mu}$, is defined as [6,9,11–15]

$$\sqrt{-g} t^{\mu}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} L - \frac{\partial L}{\partial g^{\sigma\rho}_{,\mu}} g^{\sigma\rho}_{,\nu} \right)$$

wherein L is given by

$$L = -g^{\alpha\beta} \left(\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\kappa} \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\beta\gamma} - \Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\gamma\kappa} \right).$$

Contracting the pseudo-tensor and applying Euler's theorem yields,

$$\sqrt{-g} t^{\mu}_{\mu} = L$$

which is a 1st-order intrinsic differential invariant that depends only upon the components of the metric tensor and their 1st derivatives. However, the mathematicians Ricci and Levi-Civita [16] proved in 1900 that such invariants *do not exist*. Consequently, everything built upon Einstein's pseudo-tensor is invalid. Eddington's [15] other objections to the pseudo-tensor are therefore quite well-founded.

Similarly, Einstein's field equations cannot be linearised because linearisation implies the existence of a tensor that, except for the trivial case of being zero, *does not otherwise exist*, as proved by Hermann Weyl [17] in 1944.

Since it has already been proved elsewhere [18] that the so-called "cosmological constant" must be precisely zero, expression (4) can contain no other terms.

The Two-Body Problem. – Einstein's field equations are non-linear, so the 'Principle of Superposition' cannot apply [19]. Before one can talk of relativistic binary systems it must first be proved that the two-body system is theoretically well-defined by General Relativity. This can be done in only two ways:

- (a) Derivation of an exact solution to Einstein's field equations for the two-body configuration of matter; or
- (b) Proof of an existence theorem.

There are no known solutions to Einstein's field equations for the interaction of two (or more) masses, so option (a) has never been fulfilled. No existence theorem has ever been proved, by which Einstein's field equations even admit of latent solutions for such configurations of matter, and so option (b) has never been fulfilled. The black hole is allegedly obtained from a line-element satisfying Ric = 0. Ignoring for the moment that Ric = 0 violates Einstein's

¹Which, however, is *not* Schwarzschild's solution [1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8].

'Principle of Equivalence', and, for the sake of argument, assuming that black holes are predicted by General Relativity, since $\operatorname{Ric} = 0$ is a statement that there is no matter in the Universe, one cannot simply insert a second black hole into the spacetime of $\operatorname{Ric} = 0$ of a given black hole so that the resulting two black holes (each obtained separately from $\operatorname{Ric} = 0$) mutually interact in a mutual spacetime that by definition contains no matter. One cannot simply assert by an analogy with Newton's theory that two black holes can be components of binary systems, collide or merge [20,21], because the 'Principle of Superposition' does not apply in Einstein's theory. Moreover, General Relativity has to date been unable to account for the simple experimental fact that two fixed bodies will attract one another when released.

Recapitulation and Conclusions. – The Theory of Relativity forbids the existence of infinite densities. Therefore, the black hole, with its alleged point-mass singularity, and the Big Bang cosmological point-mass singularity are forbidden by the Theory of Relativity.

Ric = 0 violates Einstein's 'Principle of Equivalence' and therefore does not describe Einstein's gravitational field. Mass and energy cannot be introduced into Einstein's field equations in any way other than via the energy-momentum tensor. Therefore, any solution to the field equations cannot introduce, *a posteriori*, any mass or energy that is not contained in an associated energy-momentum tensor.

Einstein's conceptions of the conservation and localisation of gravitational energy are erroneous. The current international search for Einstein's gravitational waves is destined to detect nothing.

The concepts of black holes, black hole binaries, collisions and mergers are all invalid.

REFERENCES

- CROTHERS S. J., On the Geometry of the General Solution for the Vacuum Field of the Point-Mass, *Progress in Physics*, 2 (2005) 3-14 www.ptep-online.com/index files/2005/PP-02-01.PDF
- [2] CROTHERS S. J., Gravitation On a Spherically Symmetric Metric Manifold, *Progress in Physics*, 2 (2007) 68-74 www.ptep-online.com/index_files/2007/PP-09-04.PDF
- [3] CROTHERS S. J., On the 'Size' of Einstein's Spherically Symmetric Universe, *Progress in Physics*, 4 (2007) 69-73 www.ptep-online.com/index_files/2007/PP-09-04.PDF
- [4] ABRAMS L. S., Black holes: the legacy of Hilbert's error, Can. J. Phys., 67 (1989) 919
- www.sjcrothers.plasmaresources.com/Abrams1989.pdf
 [5] SCHWARZSCHILD K., On the gravitational field of a mass point according to Einstein's theory, *Sitzungsber. Preuss.*
- Akad. Wiss., Phys. Math. Kl, (1916) 189, www.sjcrothers.plasmaresources.com/schwarzschild.pdf
- [6] EINSTEIN A., *The Meaning of Relativity* (Science Paperbacks and Methuen & Co. Ltd., pp.156–157) 1967
- [7] ANTOCI S., David Hilbert and the origin of the 'Schwarzschild' solution, arXiv:physics/0310104, (2001)

- [8] LOINGER A., On black holes and gravitational waves (La Goliardica Paves, Pavia) 2002
- [9] LEVI-CIVITA T., Mechanics. On the analytical expression that must be given to the gravitational tensor in Einsteins theory *Rendiconti della Reale Accadmeia dei Lincei*, 26 (1917) 381,

www.sjcrothers.plasmaresources.com/Levi-Civita.pdf

- [10] LORENTZ H. A., Versl. gewone Vergad. Akad. Amst, 25 (1916) 468
- [11] PAULI W., The Theory of Relativity (Dover Publications, Inc., New York) 1981
- [12] TOLMAN R. C., Relativity Thermodynamics and Cosmology (Dover Publications Inc., New York) 1987
- [13] DIRAC P. A. M., General Theory of Relativity (Princeton Landmarks in Physics Series, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey) 1996
- [14] MISNER C. W., THORNE K. S. and WHEELER J. A., Gravitation (W. H. Freeman and Company, New York) 1970
- [15] EDDINGTON A.S., The mathematical theory of relativity (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition) 1960
- [16] RICCI and LEVI-CIVITA T., Méthodes de calcul différential absolu et leurs applications *Matematische Annalen*, B., 54 (1900) 162
- [17] WEYL H., How far can one get with a linear field theory of gravitation in flat space-time? *Amer. J. Math.*, 66 (1944) 591, www.sjcrothers.plasmaresources.com/weyl-1.pdf
- [18] CROTHERS S. J., On the general solution to Einstein's vacuum field for the point-mass when $\lambda \neq 0$ and its consequences for relativistic cosmology, *Progress in Physics*, **3** (2005) 7–18,

www.ptep-online.com/index_files/2005/PP-03-02.PDF

- [19] LANDAU L. and LIFSHITZ E., The Classical Theory of Fields (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., Reading, Massachusettes) 1951
- [20] MCVITTIE G. C., Laplace's alleged 'black hole', The Observatory, 98 (1978) 272,
- www.sjcrothers.plasmaresources.com/McVittie.pdf
 [21] CROTHERS S. J., A Brief History of Black Holes, *Progress in Physics*, 2 (2006) 54–57,

www.ptep-online.com/index_files/2006/PP-05-10.PDF