# On the Hodge dual of the first Bianchi identity

## **Gerhard W. Bruhn, Darmstadt University of Technology**

Dec 3, 2008

One of the basic equations of differential geometry is the 1st Bianchi identity

(1) 
$$D \wedge T^a = R^a_{\ b} \wedge q^b$$
.

In their web note [1] the authors attempt to dualize that identity by claiming the Hodge dual of eq.(1) to be

$$(1^{\sim}) \qquad D \wedge T^{a} = R^{a}_{b} \wedge q^{b}$$

as an up to now unknown further basic equation of differential geometry.

To prove that new equation  $(1^{\sim})$  is transformed to the tensorial equation

(2) 
$$D_{\mu} T^{\kappa \mu \nu} = R^{\kappa \mu \nu}_{\mu}$$

which is considered to be *equivalent* to the dualized 1st Bianchi identity  $(1^{\sim})$ . Therefore the tensorial equation (2) should be valid for arbitrary sample manifolds of Riemannian differential geometry. We'll check this assertion (2) by an elementary example below. The reader will find a much deeper treatment of the topic by W.A. Rodrigues Jr. in [4].

## 1. The unit-2-sphere S<sup>2</sup> in R<sup>3</sup>

Using some basic information from S.M. Carroll [3] we have the metric

(1.1) 
$$ds^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \, d\phi^2 = dx^{12} + \sin^2 x^1 \, dx^{22}$$

with the metric tensors

(1.2) 
$$(g_{\mu\nu}) = \text{diag}(1, \sin^2 x^1), \qquad (g^{\mu\nu}) = \text{diag}(1, \frac{1}{\sin^2 x^1}).$$

using the numbering of indices

(1.3) 
$$1 \sim \theta, 2 \sim \varphi$$

There are only a few non-vanishing Christoffel coefficients

(1.4) 
$$\Gamma_{22}^{1} = -\sin x_{1} \cos x_{1}, \quad \Gamma_{12}^{2} = \Gamma_{21}^{2} = \cot x_{1},$$

while all other Christoffels  $\Gamma^{\kappa}_{\mu\nu}$  vanish.

The torsion  $T^{\kappa}$  is given by

(1.5) 
$$T^{\kappa}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\nu\mu} = 0,$$

i.e. vanishing due to the symmetry of the Christoffels in their lower indices  $\mu$ ,v.

# 2. The Riemann tensor of S<sup>2</sup>

The Riemann tensor is given by

(2.1) 
$$\mathbf{R}^{\kappa}_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\Gamma^{\kappa}_{\lambda\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\kappa}_{\lambda\mu} + \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\mu\rho}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\nu\lambda} - \Gamma^{\kappa}_{\nu\rho}\Gamma^{\rho}_{\mu\lambda}$$

being antisymmetric in  $\mu$ , v as is well-known. Therefore we have especially

(2.3) 
$$R^{\kappa}_{\lambda\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \mu = \nu.$$

### 3. The check

Due to the vanishing of torsion (1.5) the equation (2) to be checked reduces to

(3.1) 
$$0 = R^{\kappa \ \mu\nu}_{\ \mu} = R^{\kappa}_{\ \mu\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta}.$$

Therefore, due to the diagonal form (1.2) of  $(g^{\mu\rho})$ , we have to check:

(3.2) 
$$(\mathbf{R}^{\kappa}_{11\beta} \, \mathbf{g}^{11} + \mathbf{R}^{\kappa}_{22\beta} \, \mathbf{g}^{22}) \, \mathbf{g}^{\nu\beta} = (\mathbf{R}^{\kappa}_{111} \, \mathbf{g}^{11} + \mathbf{R}^{\kappa}_{221} \, \mathbf{g}^{22}) \, \mathbf{g}^{\nu1} + (\mathbf{R}^{\kappa}_{112} \, \mathbf{g}^{11} + \mathbf{R}^{\kappa}_{222} \, \mathbf{g}^{22}) \, \mathbf{g}^{\nu2} \, .$$

This is:

for v=1: 
$$R^{\kappa \mu 1}_{\mu} = (R^{\kappa}_{111} g^{11} + R^{\kappa}_{221} g^{22}) g^{11} + 0 = (R^{\kappa}_{111} g^{11} + R^{\kappa}_{221} g^{22}) g^{11} = R^{\kappa}_{221} g^{22} g^{11}$$
,  
for v=2:  $R^{\kappa \mu 2}_{\mu} = 0 + (R^{\kappa}_{112} g^{11} + R^{\kappa}_{222} g^{22}) g^{22} = (R^{\kappa}_{112} g^{11} + R^{\kappa}_{222} g^{22}) g^{22} = R^{\kappa}_{112} g^{11} g^{22}$ ,

i.e. the test reduces to:

(3.3)  $R_{221}^{\kappa} = 0$ ? and  $R_{112}^{\kappa} = 0$ ?

We consider the special case  $\kappa = 1$  to obtain:

(3.4) 
$$R^{1}_{221} = 0$$
? and  $R^{1}_{112} = 0$ ?

The check  $'R_{221}^1 = 0$  ?' means in detail

(3.5) 
$$R^{1}_{221} = \partial_{2}\Gamma^{1}_{21} - \partial_{1}\Gamma^{1}_{22} + (\Gamma^{1}_{21}\Gamma^{1}_{12} + \Gamma^{1}_{22}\Gamma^{2}_{12}) - (\Gamma^{1}_{11}\Gamma^{1}_{22} + \Gamma^{1}_{12}\Gamma^{2}_{22}) = 0 ?$$
  
=0 =0 =0

thus

(3.6) 
$$R^{1}_{221} = -\partial_1 \Gamma^{1}_{22} + \Gamma^{1}_{22} \Gamma^{2}_{12} = -\sin^2 x_1 \neq 0$$
.

Therefore we have obtained a **negative check result**: The test equation (2) is not fulfilled for the unit-2-sphere  $S^2$  which means:

### Eq.(2) is invalid in general.

**Remark**: Another counter example to eq.(2) is given by the Schwarzschild metric in [2]: Sect.1.1.4 gives symmetric Christoffel connection, hence the torsion is zero. However, due to Sect.1.1.12 we have  $R^{o}_{\mu}{}^{\mu o} \neq 0$ , again contradicting eq.(2).

### References

 [1] M.W. Evans, H. Eckardt, Violation of the Dual Bianchi Identity by Solutions of the Einstein Field Equation
<u>Violation of the Dual Bianchi Identity</u>

- [2] M.W. Evans, H. Eckardt, *Spherically symmetric metric with perturbation a/r* http://www.atomicprecision.com/blog/wp-filez/a-r.pdf
- [3] S.M. Carroll, *Lecture Notes on General Relativity*, p.60 f., http://www.mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de/~bruhn/Carroll84-85.bmp
- [4] W.A. Rodrigues Jr., Differential Forms on Riemannian (Lorentzian) and Riemann-Cartan Structures and Some Applications to Physics Ann. Fond. L. de Broglie 32 424-478 (2008) http://arxiv.org/pdf/0712.3067
- [5] G.W. Bruhn, *Evans' Duality Experiments* http://www.mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de/~bruhn/Duality.html